Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

NWQI - Green and Dane

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: NWQI - Green and Dane		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Quality - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
1. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
1. b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated impaired water body?	Yes O or No O
1. c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a water body?	Yes O or No O
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
2. a. Increase groundwater recharge in identified groundwater depletion areas (http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/rasa/html/TOC.html)?	Yes O or No O
2. b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and result in estimated water savings of at least 5% and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	Yes O or No O
2. c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes O or No O
Clean Air: Treatment of Air Quality from Agricultural Sources - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
3. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
3. b. Reduce green house gases such as methane, nitrous oxide, and volatile organic compounds (VOC)?	Yes O or No O
3. c. Increase carbon sequestration?	Yes O or No O
High Quality, Productive Soils Erosion Reduction - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
4. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes O or No O
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities Wildlife Habitat Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
5. a. Benefit threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	Yes O or No O
5. b. Retain wildlife and plant benefits on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	Yes O or No O
High Quality, Productive Soils, Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Special Environmental Efforts/Initiatives - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
6. a. Eradicate or control noxious or invasive species?	Yes O or No O
6. b. Increase, improve or establish pollinator habitat?	Yes O or No O
6. c. Implement precision agricultural methods?	Yes O or No O
6. d. Properly dispose of animal carcasses?	Yes O or No O
6. e. Implement an Integrated Pest Management plan?	Yes O or No O
Energy Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
7. a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	Yes O or No O
7. b. Increase on-farm energy efficiency with more efficient equipment?	

7. c. Assist in implementing energy conservation measures that reduce emissions from GHGs and air pollutants?	
Business Lines - Conservation Implementation Additional Ranking Considerations - Will the proposed project result in:	
8. a. Implementation of all planned conservation practices within three years of contract obligation?	
8. b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted, or will complete an existing conservation system?	
Does the applicant meet the following conditions:	
9. a. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract, has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance?	
9. b. Did the applicant successfully complete any past contract(s) in full compliance?	
9. c. Is this the applicant's first EQIP application?	

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
Water Quality - EPA Watersheds:	
1. a. Does the application include core conservation practices that will be implemented within 1/4 mile of a stream or water body that is threatened (i.e., receives significant runoff of excess nitrogen and/or phosphorous), on the EPA 303(d) list, or is impaired with a TMDL in place and therefore not on the 303(d) list, or is impaired with a TMDL in place and therefore not on the 303(d) list (or other critical stream or water body authorized by the Regional Conservationist)?	
Geographic Impacts:	
2. a: Are core conservation practices planned on the offered acres? i. Greater than 75 percent of the offered acres are within the targeted watershed, AND ii. Greater than 75 percent of the offered acres have a core conservation practice planned for application	Yes O or No O
Collaborative Efforts:	
a: Are core conservation practices planned within an existing State agency or other non-USDA water quality project are addressing the same or similar pollutants.	
Effort to address watershed impairments:	
4. a: Does this program application include the implementation of a system of conservation practices with address the primary watershed impairments?	Yes O or No O
High Risk Soils:	
5. a: Are core conservation practices to be implemented on offered acres with a majority of soil types that are classified hydrologic group D (high runoff) or group A (high infiltration)?	

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
Is the application area located in a locally identified priority watershed and practices in the application treat the specific resource concerns in the watershed (303d, ORW/ERW)?	
1. a. 303d waters, TRM watershed boundary used.	
2. b. 5P waters designation DNR 2012 must drain directly to this water	
3. c. Exceptional waters	
Will the project address a Wisconsin agriculture performance standard(NR 151 or ATCP 50)?	
4. Will the project address a Wisconsin agriculture performance standard(NR 151 or ATCP 50)?	
Does the application include structural practices that control ephemeral/classic gully erosion:	
5. a. Over 50 tons/year OR	Yes O or No O
6. b. Between 25 – 50 tons/year OR	Yes O or No O
7. c. Less than 25 tons/year	Yes O or No O
Using FSA Cropping history, is current sheet and rill erosion below "T" on:	
8. a. Over 75% of the HEL cropland in the application area?	Yes O or No O

9. b. Over 50% of the HEL cropland in the application area? OR	Yes O or No O
10. c. Between 25-50% of the HEL cropland in the application area? OR	
11. d. Less than 25% of the HEL cropland in the application area? OR If no HEL Cropland use c.	
Will the application result in installation of practices that will control and manage access of livestock to perennial or intermittent streams and other water bodies?	
12. Will the application result in installation of practices that will control and manage access of livestock to perennial or intermittent streams and other water bodies?	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: